arXiv:1203.0974v1 [math.AP] 5 Mar 2012

BOUNDEDNESS FOR WEYL-PEDERSEN CALCULUS ON FLAT COADJOINT ORBITS

INGRID BELTIŢĂ AND DANIEL BELTIŢĂ

Abstract. We describe boundedness and compactness properties for the operators obtained by the Weyl-Pedersen calculus in the case of the irreducible unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups that are associated with flat coadjoint orbits. We use spaces of smooth symbols satisfying appropriate growth conditions expressed in terms of invariant differential operators on the coadjoint orbit under consideration. Our method also provides conditions for these operators to belong to one of the Schatten ideals of compact operators. In the special case of the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group we recover some classical properties of the pseudo-differential Weyl calculus, as the Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem, and the Beals characterization in terms of commutators.

1. Introduction

We aim for describing boundedness properties for the operators obtained by the Weyl-Pedersen calculus ([Pe94]) in the case of the irreducible unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups that are associated with flat coadjoint orbits. To this end we use spaces of smooth symbols satisfying appropriate growth conditions expressed in terms of invariant differential operators on the coadjoint orbit under consideration. Our method is inspired by [Ka76] and also provides conditions for the aforementioned operators to be compact or to belong to one of the Schatten ideals of compact operators. In the special case of the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group the invariant differential operators are precisely the linear partial differential operators with constant coefficients. Thus we recover some classical properties of the pseudo-differential Weyl calculus, which go back to [CV72], [Be77], and [Ro84].

The problem of finding sufficient conditions for the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators associated with unitary representations of various types of nilpotent Lie groups received much attention: for smooth symbols of convolution operators ([Ho84]), on 2-step nilpotent groups ([Mi82]), on 3-step nilpotent groups ([Ra85]), on graded groups ([Me83], [Gł04], [Gł07]), and for non-smooth symbols ([BB11a], [BB12]). There is also a vast literature on the boundedness of singular integral operators on nilpotent Lie groups. In contrast to these investigations, we will work below with groups whose generic coadjoint orbits are flat. These groups are not necessarily graded (as the examples of [Bu06] show), and on the other hand every nilpotent Lie group embeds into a group of this type as a closed subgroup (see [Co83, Th. 2.1]), hence there exist groups of arbitrarily high nilpotency step

---

Date: March 5, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47G30; Secondary 22E25, 47B10.

Key words and phrases. Weyl calculus; Lie group; Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem.

to which our results apply. Moreover, the growth conditions that we use are different from the ones already used in the literature, inasmuch as we use invariant differential operators on coadjoint orbits.

To describe the contents of our paper in more detail, let G be a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, whose center is denoted by $\mathfrak{z}$. Let $\pi\colon G\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary irreducible representation associated with the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}^*$. We define $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ as the space of all linear differential operators D on $\mathcal{O}$ which are *invariant* to the coadjoint action, in the sense that

$$(\forall g\in G)(\forall a\in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}))\quad D(a\circ\mathrm{Ad}^*_G(g)|_{\mathcal{O}})=(Da)\circ\mathrm{Ad}^*_G(g)|_{\mathcal{O}}.$$

We will henceforth assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is a *generic flat coadjoint orbit.* This is equivalent to the condition $\dim\mathcal{O}=\dim\mathfrak{g}-\dim\mathfrak{z}$, and it is also equivalent to the fact that the representation π is square integrable modulo the center of G. (See [CG90].) Then the Weyl-Pedersen calculus $\mathrm{Op}\colon\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})\to\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\infty,\mathcal{H}_{-\infty})$ is a linear topological isomorphism which is uniquely determined by the condition that for every $b\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ we have

$$\mathrm{Op}(\check{b}|_{\mathcal{O}})=\int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}}\pi(\exp_G X)b(X)\mathrm{d}X,$$

where $\check{b}(\xi)=\int_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle\xi,Y\rangle}b(Y)\mathrm{d}Y$ for all $\xi\in\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\colon\mathfrak{g}^*\times\mathfrak{g}\to\mathbb{R}$ stands for the duality pairing (see [Pe94, Th. 4.2.1], [Ma07]). We also used above the notation $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ for the nuclear Fréchet space of smooth vectors of π, $\mathcal{H}_{-\infty}$ for the space of antilinear continuous functionals thereon, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\infty,\mathcal{H}_{-\infty})$ for the space of continuous linear operators between the above space (these operators are thought of as possibly unbounded linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$), and $\mathcal{S}(\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\bullet)$ for the spaces of Schwartz functions and tempered distributions, respectively.

The main results of the present paper can now be thus summarized in the next theorem, where we use the space

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})=\{a\in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})\mid Da\in L^\infty(\mathcal{O})\text{ for all }D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})\},\tag{1.1}$$

with the Fréchet topology given by the seminorms $\{a\mapsto\|Da\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}\}_{D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group whose generic coadjoint orbits are flat. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be such an orbit with a corresponding unitary irreducible representation $\pi\colon G\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following assertions are equivalent for $a\in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$:*

1. *$a\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$,*
2. *For every $D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ we have $\mathrm{Op}(Da)\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Moreover the Weyl-Pedersen calculus defines a continuous linear map

$$\mathrm{Op}\colon\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})\to\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

and the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$ is equivalent to that defined by the family of seminorms $\{a\mapsto\|\mathrm{Op}(Da)\|\}_{D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}^\infty_\infty(\mathcal{O})$ be the space of all $a\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ such that the function Da vanishes at infinity on $\mathcal{O}$, for every $D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$. Then $\mathcal{C}^\infty_\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is the closure of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$. Since on the other hand the set $\mathrm{Op}(\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}))$ is dense in the space $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ (see for instance [BB10, Cor. 3.3 and Thm. 4.1]), it then follows by the above theorem that

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_\infty(\mathcal{O})=\{a\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{O})\mid\mathrm{Op}(Da)\in\mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H})\text{ for all }D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})\}.$$

See Theorem 4.4 below for a similar statement involving Schatten ideals of compact operators.

If π is the Schrödinger representation of the $(2n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group, then Theorem 1.1 gives the characterization of the symbols of type $S^0_{0,0}$ for the pseudo-differential Weyl calculus $\mathrm{Op}\colon \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Namely, for any symbol $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ we have

$$(\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}) \quad \partial^\alpha a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \iff (\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}) \quad \mathrm{Op}(\partial^\alpha a) \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

where ∂^α stand as usually for the partial derivatives; see for instance [Bo97].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give some definitions and restate the main result in a more explicit form (Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7). In Section 3 we provide some auxiliary results on convolutions for certain transformation groups and the Weyl-Pedersen calculus. The main result is proved in Section 4. In Section 5 we give a method of computing the invariant differential operators on a flat orbit and illustrate it by two examples that corresponds to items N5N3 and N5N6 in [Pe88].

2. Smooth functions on the coadjoint orbit

In the rest of the paper we shall identify G with $\mathfrak{g}$, by using the exponential map, so that $G = (\mathfrak{g}, \cdot_G)$, where $\cdot_G$ is the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff multiplication. We shall however keep notation as G, Ad_G each time when it is important to point out that operations are considered on the group.

We may assume that the center $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is one dimensional. Let $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_d$ be a Jordan-Hölder basis such that X_0 generates $\mathfrak{z}$, and denote by $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_d \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual basis. Recall that the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}$ is assumed to be flat and of dimension d, hence $\mathcal{O} = \xi_0 + \mathfrak{z}^\perp = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid (\xi \mid X_0) = 1\}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathrm{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_d\}$. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \dot{+} \mathfrak{g}_e$, we have the linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}$, thus $\mathfrak{g}_0$ has the structure of a nilpotent Lie algebra. We denote by $\cdot$ the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff multiplication on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, by $G_0 = (\mathfrak{g}_0, \cdot)$ the corresponding group, and by Ad the adjoint representation associated to G_0. Note that

$$X \cdot Y - X \cdot_G Y,\ \mathrm{Ad}(X)Y - \mathrm{Ad}_G(X)Y \in \mathfrak{z}, \quad \mathrm{Ad}^*_G(X \cdot Y) = \mathrm{Ad}^*_G(X \cdot_G Y),$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Definition 2.1. We will need a global chart and a parameterization of $\mathcal{O}$, which can be constructed as follows:

(1) The simplest global chart is

$$P\colon \mathcal{O} \to \mathfrak{g}_0^*, \quad P(\xi) = \xi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}.$$

For every $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$, the functional $\xi := P^{-1}(\eta) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is uniquely determined by the conditions $\xi|_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \eta$ and $\langle \xi, X_0 \rangle = 1$.

(2) The global parameterization defined by the coadjoint action of G,

$$A_{\xi_0}\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathcal{O}, \quad A_{\xi_0}(X) = \mathrm{Ad}^*_G(X)\xi_0 = \xi_0 \circ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} X}.$$

Recall that A_{ξ_0} is a polynomial diffeomorphism (see [Pe89]). It induces the isomorphism

$$A^*_{\xi_0}\colon \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0), \quad (A^*_{\xi_0} a)(X) = a(A^*_{\xi_0} X).$$

Definition 2.2. Consider the following actions of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in spaces of distributions on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathcal{O}$, respectively:

1. $\lambda, \rho\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ are induced by the left, respectively right, regular representations of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ by
$$(\lambda(X)b)(Y) = b((-X)\cdot Y), \quad (\rho(X)b)(Y) = b(Y \cdot X).$$
2. $\alpha, \beta\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathrm{End}\,(\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O}))$ are defined by by
$$(\alpha(X)a)(\xi) = a(\mathrm{Ad}^*_G(-X)\xi), \quad (\beta(X)a)(\mathrm{Ad}^*_G(Y)\xi_0) = a(\mathrm{Ad}^*_G(Y\cdot X)\xi_0)$$

With the notation above, we recall the covariance property of the Weyl-Pedersen calculus (see [BB11b, Thm. 3.5]), that is,
$$\mathrm{Op}(\alpha(X)a) = \pi(-X)\mathrm{Op}(a)\pi(X), \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}_0. \tag{2.1}$$
Also, note that α and β commute, that is,
$$\lambda(X)\beta(Y) = \beta(Y)\alpha(X), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0. \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.3. For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, define

1. For every $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$,
$$(\mathrm{d}\lambda(X)f)(Y) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}(\lambda(tX)f)(Y) \quad (\mathrm{d}\rho(X)f)(Y) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}(\rho(tX)f)(Y).$$
2. For every $a \in C^1(\mathcal{O})$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ set
$$(\mathrm{d}\alpha(X)a)(\xi) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}(\alpha(tX)a)(\xi), \quad (\mathrm{d}\beta(X)a)(\xi) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0}(\beta(tX)a)(\xi).$$

Definition 2.4. Recall from the Introduction that $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ denotes the space of linear differential operators on $\mathcal{O}$ that are invariant to the coadjoint action of G.

We define $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ as the space of all linear differential operators on $\mathfrak{g}_0^*$ which are pushforward through P of operators in $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$. Hence $D \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ if and only if there exists $\widetilde{D} \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ such that for every $b \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ we have $\widetilde{D}(b\circ P) = (Db)\circ P$.

Similarly, $\mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0)$ is defined as the space of all linear differential operators on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ which are pullbacks through A of operators in $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$. So $D \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0)$ if and only if there exists $\widetilde{D} \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ such that for every $a \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ we have $\widetilde{D}(a\circ A_{\xi_0}) = (Da)\circ A_{\xi_0}$. □

Remark 2.5. We have
$$(\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}) \quad A_{\xi_0}(X\cdot Y) = \mathrm{Ad}^*_G(X)(A_{\xi_0}(Y)),$$
and therefore a linear differential operator D on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ belongs to $\mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0)$ if and only if for all $b \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we have $D(L_X b) = L_X(Db)$, where we use the left translation $L_X\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$, $L_X(Y) = X\cdot Y$. Equivalently, $\mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0)$ is the space of all left-invariant linear differential operators on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and then it follows by [He02, Ch. II, Th. 4.3] that there exists the isomorphism of associative algebras
$$\mathrm{U}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0), \quad u \mapsto \mathrm{d}\rho(u),$$
where $\mathrm{U}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ stands for the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. In particular, by using the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, we see that the set $\{\mathrm{d}\beta(X_1)^{p_1}\cdots\mathrm{d}\beta(X_d)^{p_d} \mid p_1,\dots,p_d \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a linear basis in $\mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0)$. □

Note that for every $u \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O}) \arrow[r, "A^*_{\xi_0}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{d}\beta(u)"'] & \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{d}\rho(u)"] \\
\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O}) \arrow[r, "A^*_{\xi_0}"] & \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3}$$

is commutative, since it is clear that $\rho(X)A^*_{\xi_0} = A^*_{\xi_0}\beta(X)$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. It follows from Remark 2.5 above that $a \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if

$$\|\mathrm{d}\beta(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\beta(X_d)^{p_d} a\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} < \infty$$

for all $p_1, \dots, p_d \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Also the Fréchet topology on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$ can be described by the family of seminorms

$$a \mapsto \|a\|_{(\bar{p})} = \|\mathrm{d}\beta(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\beta(X_d)^{p_d} a\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}.$$

By using the above remarks and explicit definitions we restate Theorem 1.1 in the next two theorems. The first result is a Calderón-Vaillancourt type result.

Theorem 2.6. *The mapping* $\mathrm{Op}\colon \mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *is well defined and continuous.*

The second result provides the characterization of the algebra of operators given by symbols in $\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$.

Theorem 2.7. $\mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathcal{O})$ *is precisely the set of those symbols* $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$ *such that the operator* $\mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{d}\beta(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\beta(X_d)^{p_d} a)$ *is bounded for every* $(p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

The proof will be provided in Section 4, after some preparations in the next section.

3. CONVOLUTIONS AND OPERATOR CALCULUS

We need the involutive anti-automorphism

$$U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}}) \to U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad u \mapsto u^\top$$

uniquely determined by the condition that $X^\top = -X$ when $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Since $\mathrm{d}\lambda\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathrm{End}\,(C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, it extends uniquely to $\mathrm{d}\lambda\colon U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}}) \to \mathrm{End}\,(C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0))$ which is an homomorphism of associative algebras. Similarly for ρ and α.

We recall that $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ can be canonically identified with the space of distributions on $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with support at 0. Thus it makes sense to consider the convolution $u * h$ for every $h \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $u \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Here convolution uses the group multiplication of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and not the linear structure.

Lemma 3.1. *For every* $u \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ *and* $\psi \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ *we have*

(a) $\mathrm{d}\lambda(u)\varphi = u * \varphi$,
(b) $\mathrm{d}\rho(u)\varphi = \varphi * u^\top$,
(c) $(\mathrm{d}\rho(u)\varphi) * \psi = \varphi * (\mathrm{d}\lambda(u^\top)\psi)$.

Proof. For (a) and (b) see [Wa72], while (c) follows from (a) and (b) . □

Lemma 3.2. *For* $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, *the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *For every* $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$,

$$\mathrm{d}\rho(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\rho(X_d)^{p_d}\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

(b) *For every* $u \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\mathrm{d}\rho(u)\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Proof. Since $X_1, \dots, X_d$ is a basis for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, it follows by the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem that $\{X_1^{p_1} \cdots X_d^{p_d} \mid \bar{p} \in \mathbb{N}^d\}$ is a basis for $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Hence the conclusion follows at once. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Denote by $C_b^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ the space of $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ such that for every $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$,

$$\mathrm{d}\rho(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\rho(X_d)^{p_d}\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0). \tag{3.1}$$

This is a Fréchet space with the topology given by the seminorms, indexed over $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{N}^d$,

$$\Phi \mapsto \|\mathrm{d}\rho(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\rho(X_d)^{p_d}\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)}$$

The previous lemmas show that one can replace condition (3.1) by $\mathrm{d}\rho(u)\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ for all $u \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover, the seminorms, indexed over $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$,

$$\Phi \mapsto \|\mathrm{d}\rho(u)\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)}$$

define an equivalent topology on $C_b^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

Lemma 3.4. *For every* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *there exist finite families* $\{u_j\}_{j\in J}$ *in* $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ *and* $\{F_j\}_{j\in J}$ *in* $C_0^m(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ *such that for every* $h \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)$,

$$h = \sum_{j\in J} \mathrm{d}\rho(u_j)h * F_j.$$

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. From Lemma 2.3 in [DDJP09], along with Lemma 3.1, we see that there exist finite families $\{\tilde{u}_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\{F_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $C_0^m(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ such that $\delta = \sum_{j\in J} \tilde{u}_j * F_j$. Hence, for every $h \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)$,

$$h = h * \delta = \sum_{j\in J} h * \tilde{u}_j * F_j = \sum_{j\in J} (\mathrm{d}\rho(\tilde{u}_j^\top)h) * F_j.$$

Then the lemma follows with $u_j = \tilde{u}_j^\top$. $\square$

Remark 3.5. It follows by (2.3) that $a \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ if and only if $A_{\xi_0}^* a \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and

$$\mathrm{d}\rho(X_1)^{p_1} \cdots \mathrm{d}\rho(X_d)^{p_d}(A_{\xi_0}^* a) \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$$

for every $\bar{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

Definition 3.6. For $b \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{O})$ we define $b * a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$ by

$$\langle b * a, \phi\rangle = \langle b * a, \phi^\Delta\rangle, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}),$$

where $\phi^\Delta(Y, \xi) = \phi(\mathrm{Ad}_G^*(Y)\xi)$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$.

Remark 3.7. Note that the convolution defined above is nothing else than the image on the orbit of the convolution on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, that is,

$$A_{\xi_0}^*(b * a) = b * (A_{\xi_0}^* a),$$

for every $b \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ and $a \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{O})$.

Lemma 3.8. *For every* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *there exist finite families* $\{u_j\}_{j\in J} \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ *and* $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$ *in* $C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$ *such that for every* $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$

$$a = \sum_{j\in J} A_{\xi_0}^*(\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a) * f_j.$$

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. By Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.5 it follows that there are finite families $\{u_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\{F_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $C_0^m(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ such that

$$A^*_{\xi_0}a = \sum_{j\in J} \mathrm{d}\rho(u_j)(A^*_{\xi_0}a) * F_j = \sum_{j\in J} A^*_{\xi_0}(\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a) * F_j.$$

Thus, by Remark 3.7, the lemma follows with $f_j = (A^*_{\xi_0})^{-1}F_j$. $\square$

Proposition 3.9. *The mapping* $L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0) \times \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$(b, C) \mapsto b\{C\} = \int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_0} b(X)\pi(X)^{-1}C\pi(X)\mathrm{d}X$$

is well defined, bounded, and bilinear, where the integral is so-convergent.

Proof. This follows by [Ta81, Lemma 0.2, Ch. XIII] and [BB11a, Prop. 2.8]. $\square$

Recall that when $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$, we have

$$(\mathrm{Op}(a)\phi \mid \psi) = \langle a, \mathcal{W}(\phi, \psi)\rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

where the Wigner function $\mathcal{W}(\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{W}(\phi, \psi)(\xi) = \int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \xi, X\rangle}(\phi \mid \pi(X)\psi)\,\mathrm{d}X,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. See [BB11a, Cor. 2.11] for more details.

Lemma 3.10. *Let* $b \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$, *and* $a \in C_0(\mathcal{O})$ *be such that* $\mathrm{Op}(a) \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$. *Then* $\mathrm{Op}(b * a)$ *extends to a bounded operator on* $\mathcal{H}$, *namely* $\mathrm{Op}(b * a) = b\{\mathrm{Op}(a)\}$.

Proof. Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$. Then by using (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{Op}(b * a)\phi \mid \psi) &= \int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} (b * a)(\xi)\mathcal{W}(\phi, \psi)(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_0}\int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} b(Y)a(\mathrm{Ad}^*(-Y)\xi)\mathcal{W}(\phi, \psi)(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi\,\mathrm{d}Y.\end{aligned}$$

Here note that

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} a(\mathrm{Ad}^*(-Y)\xi)\mathcal{W}(\phi, \psi)(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi = (\mathrm{Op}(\alpha(Y)a)\phi \mid \psi) = (\pi(-Y)\mathrm{Op}(a)\pi(Y)\phi \mid \psi),$$

by the covariance equality (2.1). The lemma follows now from Proposition 3.9. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We recall that for proving Theorem 1.1 it is enough to prove Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7.

Proposition 4.1. *There exist* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that when* $f \in C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$ *the operator* $\mathrm{Op}(f)$ *is trace class.*

Proof. Recall (see [Pe94]) that the mapping $\mathrm{Op}\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_\infty$ is continuously (see [BB10]) embedded in $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Thus there exist $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\phi)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})} \le C p_{m,l}(\phi),$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$, where $p_{m,l}$ is the seminorm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$ that corresponds, via the global chart P, to the seminorm $\phi \mapsto \sup |(1+|\xi|^2)^{l/2}(1-\Delta)^{m/2}\phi(\xi)|$. Since $C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$ is contained in the closure of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$ in this seminorm, we get that if $f \in C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathrm{Op}(f)$ can be approximated in the $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$ norm by a sequence of trace class operators. This proves that $\mathrm{Op}(f) \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough such that $\mathrm{Op}(f)$ is trace class whenever $f \in C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$. Then by Lemma 3.8 there exist finite families $\{u_j\}_{j\in J}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that for every $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$ one has

$$a = \sum_{j\in J} A^*_{\xi_0}(\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a) * f_j.$$

Assume now that $a \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. Then $b_j = A^*_{\xi_0}(\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a) \in L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ (see Remarks 3.5 and 3.3). It follows by Lemma 3.10 and Proposition 3.9 that

$$\mathrm{Op}(a) = \sum_{j\in J} b_j\{\mathrm{Op}(f_j)\},$$

$\mathrm{Op}(a)$ is bounded, and there are constants $C, C' > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(a)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} \le C \sum_{j\in J} \|b_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \|\mathrm{Op}(f_j)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})} \le C' \sum_{j\in J} \|\mathrm{d}\beta_j(u_j)a\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}.$$

This, together with Remark 3.5, concludes the proof. □

In order to prove Theorem 2.7 we need a lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let B be a Banach space of distributions continuously embedded into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$, and such that $\alpha(X)a \in B$ and $\|\alpha(X)a\|_B = \|a\|_B$ whenever $a \in B$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then for every $u \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_\mathbb{C})$ there is a constant C and a finite family $\{u_j\}_{j\in J}$ such that*

$$\|\mathrm{d}\beta(u)a\|_{L^\infty} \le C \sum_{j\in J} \|\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a\|_B$$

for every $a \in B$ with $\mathrm{d}\beta(u')a \in B$, for all $u' \in U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. The unit ball in B is bounded in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$, therefore there exist $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that for every $a \in B$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$

$$|\langle a, \varphi\rangle| \le C\|a\|_B p_{m,k}(\varphi), \tag{4.1}$$

where $p_{m,k}(\varphi)$ is a seminorm on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. It follows that a extends to functions in $C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$ and, when K is a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}$, there is $C = C(K) > 0$ such that

$$|\langle a, \varphi\rangle| \le C\|a\|_B \|\varphi\|_{C^m(K)}, \tag{4.2}$$

whenever $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ has support in K.

For $f \in C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$ let $\check{f}$ be the $C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$ function defined by

$$\check{f}(\mathrm{Ad}^*(X)\xi_0) = f(\mathrm{Ad}^*(-X)\xi_0).$$

Then notice that when $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ one can write

$$((A^*_{\xi_0} a) * f)(\mathrm{Ad}^*(X)\xi_0) = \langle A^*_{\xi_0} a(X \cdot (\cdot)), \check{f}(\mathrm{Ad}^*(\cdot)\xi_0)\rangle = \langle \alpha(-X)a, \check{f}\rangle$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. When $a \in B$, since $\alpha(-X)a \in B$, the above equality extends to $f \in C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$, by (4.2). It follows that if K is a compact in $\mathcal{O}$, there is $C = C(K) > 0$ such that for every $a \in B$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$,

$$|((A^*_{\xi_0} a) * f)(\mathrm{Ad}^*(X)\xi_0)| = |\langle \alpha(-X)a, \check{f}\rangle| \le C\|a\|_B \|\check{f}\|_{C^m(K)}, \tag{4.3}$$

whenever $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ has support in K.

We now use Lemma 3.8 for m above. Thus, for some finite families $\{u_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $U((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\{f_j\}_{j\in J}$ in $C_0^m(\mathcal{O})$, independent on $a \in B$, we may write

$$a(\mathrm{Ad}^*(X)\xi_0) = \sum_{j\in J} ((A^*_{\xi_0}(\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a)) * f_j)(\mathrm{Ad}^*(X)\xi_0).$$

From (4.3) we get

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty} \le C \sum_{j\in J} \|\mathrm{d}\beta(u_j)a\|_B,$$

where C is independent on a.

To get the estimates for $\mathrm{d}\beta(u)a$ in the statement, we use the above inequality for a replaced by $\mathrm{d}\beta(u)a$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 2.7. We use the above Lemma 4.2 for the Banach space

$$B = \{a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O}) \mid \mathrm{Op}(a) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})\}$$

with the norm $\|a\|_B = \|\mathrm{Op}(a)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})}$.

It remains to notice that when $a \in B$, and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\alpha(X)a \in B$ and has the same norm as a, since $\mathrm{Op}(\alpha(X)a) = \pi(X)\mathrm{Op}(a)\pi(-X)$. □

Lemma 4.3. *If $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^m(\mathcal{O})$, then there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for every $c \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O})$ we have $\|A^*_{\xi_0}(c) * f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{O})} \le M\|\mathrm{Op}(c)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})}$.*

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is dense $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{O})$, which in turn is dense in the Banach space $B := \{c \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathcal{O}) \mid \|c\|_B := \|\mathrm{Op}(c)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})} < \infty\}$. It then follows that it suffices to obtain an estimate of the form

$$(\forall c, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})) \quad \Big|\int_{\mathcal{O}} (A^*_{\xi_0}(c) * f)\varphi\Big| \le M\|\mathrm{Op}(c)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})}\|\varphi\|_{L^q(\mathcal{O})} \tag{4.4}$$

where the constant $M > 0$ depends only on p and f, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. By using Remark 3.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} (A^*_{\xi_0}(c) * f)\varphi &= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_e} A^*_{\xi_0}((A^*_{\xi_0}(c) * f)\varphi) \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_e} ((A^*_{\xi_0}(c) * A^*_{\xi_0}(f))A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi) \\ &= \iint\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_e\times\mathfrak{g}_e} (A^*_{\xi_0}(c))(Y)(A^*_{\xi_0}(f))((-Y) * X)(A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi))(X)\mathrm{d}X\mathrm{d}Y \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{g}_e} A^*_{\xi_0}(c)(A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi) * A^*_{\xi_0}(\check{f})) \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} c(A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi) * \check{f}).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using [Pe94, Th. 4.1.4] we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Bigl|\int\limits_{\mathcal{O}} (A^*_{\xi_0}(c) * f)\varphi\Bigr| &= |\mathrm{Tr}\,(\mathrm{Op}(c)\mathrm{Op}(A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi) * \check{f}))| \\ &\le \|\mathrm{Op}(c)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})}\|\mathrm{Op}(A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi) * \check{f}))\|_{\mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H})} \\ &= \|\mathrm{Op}(c)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})}\|A^*_{\xi_0}(\varphi)\mathrm{Op}\{\check{f})\}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_q(\mathcal{H})},\end{aligned}$$

where the latter equality follows by Lemma 3.10. Now, by using [Ar08, Lemma 3.3] we get (4.4), and this completes the proof. ☐

By using the above lemma and the L^p version of Proposition 3.9 obtained by the similar reasoning and [Ar08, Lemma 3.3], we get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group whose generic coadjoint orbits are flat. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be such an orbit with a corresponding unitary irreducible representation $\pi\colon G \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and $p \in [1,\infty)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent for $a \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$:*

1. *For every $D \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ we have $Da \in L^p(\mathcal{O})$.*
2. *For every $D \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})$ we have $\mathrm{Op}(Da) \in \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$.*

Moreover, if we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,p}(\mathcal{O})$ the space of all symbols satisfying the above conditions, then the Weyl-Pedersen calculus defines a continuous linear map

$$\mathrm{Op}\colon \mathcal{C}^{\infty,p}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})$$

if $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,p}(\mathcal{O})$ carries the Fréchet topology that can be defined by any of the families of seminorms $\{a \mapsto \|Da\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})}\}_{D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})}$ and $\{a \mapsto \|\mathrm{Op}(Da)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p(\mathcal{H})}\}_{D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O})}$.

5. Computing invariant differential operators on a flat coadjoint orbit

Remark 5.1. Define

$$\omega\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \omega(X,Y) = \langle \xi_0, [X,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}\rangle$$

and

$$\chi := P \circ A_{\xi_0}\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0^*, \quad \chi(X) = (\mathrm{Ad}^*_G(X)\xi_0)|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}.$$

Then it is straightforward to check the following properties:

(1) The functional ω gives a symplectic structure on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$. That is, it is a nondegenerate scalar 2-cocycle on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, in the sense that it is skew-symmetric, the linear mapping

$$\omega^{\#}\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0^*, \quad \omega^{\#}(X) = \omega(\cdot, X)$$

is invertible, and

$$(\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad \omega(X, [Y, Z]) + \omega(Y, [Z, X]) + \omega(Z, [X, Y]) = 0.$$

Since ω is skew-symmetric, the above property is equivalent to the fact that

$$(\forall Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad \omega^{\#}([Y, Z]) = (\mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathfrak{g}_0} Y)\omega^{\#}(Z) - (\mathrm{ad}^*_{\mathfrak{g}_0} Z)\omega^{\#}(Y),$$

hence the function $\omega^{\#}$ is a 1-cocycle of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ with values in its coadjoint representation.

(2) The function χ is a smooth 1-cocycle of the Lie group G_0 with values in its coadjoint representation, which means that

$$(\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad \chi(X \cdot Y) = \chi(X) + \mathrm{Ad}^*_{G_0}(X)\chi(Y).$$

To see the relation between the properties of χ and ω, just note that $\chi'_0 = \omega^{\#}$ and use the connection between the Lie group cohomology and the Lie algebra cohomology; see for instance [CE48, Th. 10.1] or [Ne04, App. B]. $\square$

Note that all of the associative algebras of linear differential operators

$$\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{O}),\ \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*),\ \mathrm{Diff}\,(G_0)$$

are mutually isomorphic and are also isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $\mathrm{U}((\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\mathbb{C}})$, by Remark 2.5. We now proceed to developing the ingredients for a description of the differential operators in $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$.

Definition 5.2. The *affine coadjoint action of* G_0 is the mapping

$$\gamma\colon G_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0^* \to \mathfrak{g}_0^*, \quad (X, \eta) \mapsto \gamma(X)\eta := \mathrm{Ad}^*_{G_0}(X)\eta + \chi(X),$$

while the mapping

$$\dot{\gamma}_\omega\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0^* \to \mathfrak{g}_0^*, \quad (X, \eta) \mapsto \dot{\gamma}_\omega(X)(\eta) := \mathrm{ad}^*_{G_0}(X)\eta + \omega^{\#}(X)$$

is called the *affine coadjoint action of* $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Moreover, for every $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ and $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ we define $\nabla_\eta f \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ such that $f'_\eta = \langle \cdot, \nabla_\eta f\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*, \mathfrak{g}_0}\colon \mathfrak{g}_0^* \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*, \mathfrak{g}_0}\colon \mathfrak{g}_0^* \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the duality pairing. Then for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ we define the linear differential operator $\gamma_\omega(X)\colon C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*) \to C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ that acts on arbitrary $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ by

$$(\forall \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*) \quad (\gamma_\omega(X)f)(\eta) = \langle f'_\eta, \dot{\gamma}_\omega(X)(\eta)\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*, \mathfrak{g}_0}.$$

Hence $\gamma_\omega(X)$ is just the first-order differential operator corresponding to the fundamental vector field generated by X in the infinitesimal affine coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. $\square$

Remark 5.3. Note that for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ we have

$$(\forall \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*) \quad (\gamma_\omega(X)f)(\eta) = \omega(X, \nabla_\eta f) - \langle \eta, [X, \nabla_\eta f]\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*, \mathfrak{g}_0}$$

and we thus see that the coefficients of $\gamma_\omega(X)$ are polynomials of degree at most 1. More precisely, if we denote by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d$ the partial derivatives in $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ with respect to the coordinates

$$(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d) = (\langle \eta, X_1\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0}, \dots, \langle \eta, X_d\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0})$$

defined by basis of $\mathfrak{g}_0^*$ which is dual to the basis $X_1, \dots, X_d$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, then we have for arbitrary $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$,

$$(\forall \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*) \quad \nabla_\eta f = (\partial_1 f)(\eta) X_1 + \dots + (\partial_d f)(\eta) X_d$$

hence

$$(\forall \eta \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*) \quad (\gamma_\omega(X) f)(\eta) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \omega(X, X_j)(\partial_j f)(\eta) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \langle \eta, [X, X_j]\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0} (\partial_j f)(\eta).$$

Now let us define the linear functionals $c_{jk} \colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \le k < j \le d$ such that

$$(\forall j \in \{1, \dots, d\})(\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad [X, X_j] = \sum_{1 \le k < j} c_{jk}(X) X_k.$$

Then

$$(\forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_0) \quad \gamma_\omega(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \omega(X, X_j)\partial_j - \sum_{1 \le k < j} c_{jk}(X) \eta_k \partial_j$$

. ☐

We now motivate the terminology used in Definition 5.2.

Proposition 5.4. *For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Ad}_G^*(X)"] \arrow[d, "P"'] & \mathcal{O} \arrow[d, "P"] \\
\mathfrak{g}_0^* \arrow[r, "\gamma(X)"] & \mathfrak{g}_0^*
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Proof. For arbitrary $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$ we have

$$\langle P(\mathrm{Ad}_G^*(X)\xi), Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0} = \langle \mathrm{Ad}_G^*(X)\xi, Y\rangle = \langle \xi, \mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y\rangle.$$

Moreover, if we denote by $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{z}}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ the Cartesian projections corresponding to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \dotplus \mathfrak{g}_0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y &= \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{z}}(\mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y) + \mathrm{pr}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(\mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y) \\
&= \langle \xi_0, \mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y\rangle X_0 + \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0}(-X)Y.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the preceding equality we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P(\mathrm{Ad}_G^*(X)\xi), Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0} &= \langle \xi, \mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y\rangle \\
&= \langle \xi, \langle \xi_0, \mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y\rangle X_0 + \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0}(-X)Y\rangle \\
&= \langle \xi_0, \mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y\rangle \langle \xi, X_0\rangle + \langle \xi, \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0}(-X)Y\rangle \\
&= \langle \xi_0, \mathrm{Ad}_G(-X)Y\rangle + \langle \xi, \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0}(-X)Y\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathrm{Ad}_G^*(X)\xi_0, Y\rangle + \langle P(\xi), \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0}(-X)Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0} \\
&= \langle \chi(X), Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0} + \langle \mathrm{Ad}_{G_0}^* X(P(\xi)), Y\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}_0^*,\mathfrak{g}_0}
\end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof since $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is arbitrary. ☐

Corollary 5.5. *If* $D\colon C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)\to C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ *is a linear differential operator, then we have* $D\in\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ *if and only if for every* $X\in\mathfrak{g}_0$ *we have* $[D,\gamma_\omega(X)]=0$.

Proof. Use Proposition 5.4 and Remark 2.5. ☐

Corollary 5.6. *The set* $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ *is equal to the unital associative algebra generated by the first-order linear differential operators* $D\colon C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)\to C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ *satisfying the condition* $[D,\gamma_\omega(X)]=0$ *for arbitrary* $X\in\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Proof. Use Corollary 5.5 and Remark 2.5. ☐

Example 5.7. Let us assume that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is 5-dimensional and is defined by the commutation relations

$$[X_4,X_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}=X_1,\ [X_4,X_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}=[X_3,X_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}=X_0.$$

Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0=\mathrm{span}\,\{X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4\}$ is defined by the commutation relation

$$[X_4,X_3]=X_1.$$

The skew-symmetric bilinear functional $\omega\colon\mathfrak{g}_0\times\mathfrak{g}_0\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\omega(X_4,X_1)=\omega(X_3,X_2)=1$ and $\omega(X_j,X_i)=0$ if $1\le i<j\le 4$ and $(j,i)\notin\{(4,1),(3,2)\}$. Therefore, by using Remark 5.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\omega(X_1)&=\partial_4,\\ \gamma_\omega(X_2)&=\partial_3,\\ \gamma_\omega(X_3)&=-\partial_2+\eta_1\partial_4,\\ \gamma_\omega(X_4)&=-\partial_1-\eta_1\partial_3.\end{aligned}$$

We wish to compute $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ by using Corollary 5.6. Let $D=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{4}a_j\partial_j$ be a first-order differential operator with polynomial coefficients on $\mathfrak{g}_0^*$ with $[\gamma_\omega(X_k),D]=0$ for $k=1,2,3,4$. In order to determine the conditions to be satisfied by the coefficients of D, we will need the following commutation formula for first-order partial differential operators, which can be verified directly:

$$[b_i\partial_i,a_j\partial_j]=b_i(\partial_i a_j)\partial_j-a_j(\partial_j b_i)\partial_i. \tag{5.1}$$

This shows that the conditions $[\gamma_\omega(X_1),D]=[\gamma_\omega(X_2),D]=0$, are equivalent to $\partial_3 a_j=\partial_4 a_j=0$ for $j=1,2,3,4$, hence the coefficients of D depend only on the variables η_1 and η_2. We then get

$$\begin{aligned}0=&[\gamma_\omega(X_3),D]=[-\partial_2+\eta_1\partial_4,a_1\partial_1+a_2\partial_2+a_3\partial_3+a_4\partial_4]\\ =&-(\partial_2a_1)\partial_1-(\partial_2a_2)\partial_2-(\partial_2a_3)\partial_3-(\partial_2a_4)\partial_4\\ &+\eta_1(\partial_4a_1)\partial_1-a_1\partial_4+\eta_1(\partial_4a_2)\partial_2+\eta_1(\partial_4a_3)\partial_3+\eta_1(\partial_4a_4)\partial_4\\ =&-(\partial_2a_1)\partial_1-(\partial_2a_2)\partial_2-(\partial_2a_3)\partial_3-(\partial_2a_4)\partial_4-a_1\partial_4\end{aligned}$$

hence $\partial_2a_1=\partial_2a_2=\partial_2a_3=0$ and $\partial_2a_4=-a_1$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}0=&[\gamma_\omega(X_4),D]=[-\partial_1-\eta_1\partial_3,a_1\partial_1+a_2\partial_2+a_3\partial_3+a_4\partial_4]\\ =&-(\partial_1a_1)\partial_1-(\partial_1a_2)\partial_2-(\partial_1a_3)\partial_3-(\partial_1a_4)\partial_4\\ &-\eta_1(\partial_3a_1)\partial_1+a_1\partial_3-\eta_1(\partial_3a_2)\partial_2-\eta_1(\partial_3a_3)\partial_3-\eta_1(\partial_3a_4)\partial_4\\ =&-(\partial_1a_1)\partial_1-(\partial_1a_2)\partial_2-(\partial_1a_3)\partial_3-(\partial_1a_4)\partial_4+a_1\partial_3\end{aligned}$$

hence $\partial_1 a_1 = \partial_1 a_2 = \partial_1 a_4 = 0$ and $\partial_1 a_3 = a_1$.

Consequently we get the constant coefficients $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and the polynomials $a_3 \in \mathbb{R}[\eta_1]$ and $a_4 \in \mathbb{R}[\eta_2]$ satisfy the conditions $\partial_2 a_4 = -a_1$ and $\partial_1 a_3 = a_1$. Hence there exist the constants $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_3 = -a_1\eta_1 + c_3$ and $a_4 = a_1\eta_2 + c_4$. We thus see that the space of first-order linear differential operators in Diff $(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ is the 4-dimensional vector space

$$\{c_1\partial_1 + c_2\partial_2 + (c_1\eta_1 + c_3)\partial_3 + (-c_1\eta_2 + c_4)\partial_4 \mid c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

that is, span $\{\partial_2, \partial_3, \partial_4, \partial_1 + \eta_1\partial_3 - \eta_2\partial_4\}$. Then Corollary 5.6 shows that Diff $(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ is the unital associative algebra of differential operators generated by this set. ☐

Example 5.8. Let us assume that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is again 5-dimensional and is defined this time by the commutation relations

$$[X_4, X_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} = X_2,\ [X_4, X_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} = X_1,\ [X_4, X_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [X_3, X_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} = X_0.$$

Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \operatorname{span}\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$ is defined by the commutation relations

$$[X_4, X_3] = X_2,\ [X_4, X_2] = X_1.$$

The skew-symmetric bilinear functional $\omega\colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\omega(X_4, X_1) = \omega(X_3, X_2) = 1$ and $\omega(X_j, X_i) = 0$ if $1 \le i < j \le 4$ and $(j,i) \notin \{(4,1),(3,2)\}$. By using Remark 5.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_\omega(X_1) &= \partial_4, \\
\gamma_\omega(X_2) &= \partial_3 + \eta_1\partial_4, \\
\gamma_\omega(X_3) &= -\partial_2 + \eta_2\partial_4, \\
\gamma_\omega(X_4) &= -\partial_1 - \eta_1\partial_2 - \eta_2\partial_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We again wish to compute Diff $(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ by using Corollary 5.6. So let $D = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{4} a_j\partial_j$ be a first-order differential operator with polynomial coefficients on $\mathfrak{g}_0^*$ such that $[\gamma_\omega(X_k), D] = 0$ for $k = 1,2,3,4$.

It follows by (5.1) that the condition $[\gamma_\omega(X_1), D] = 0$, is equivalent to

$$\partial_4 a_1 = \partial_4 a_2 = \partial_4 a_3 = \partial_4 a_4 = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

hence the coefficients of D depend only on the variables η_1, η_2, and η_3. Moreover, by using (5.1) again, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& [\gamma_\omega(X_2), D] = [\partial_3 + \eta_1\partial_4, a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3 + a_4\partial_4] \\
=& (\partial_3 a_1)\partial_1 + (\partial_3 a_2)\partial_2 + (\partial_3 a_3)\partial_3 + (\partial_3 a_4)\partial_4 - a_1\partial_4
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\partial_3 a_1 = \partial_3 a_2 = \partial_3 a_3 = 0, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\partial_3 a_4 = a_1. \tag{5.4}$$

Similarly, by using (5.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& [\gamma_\omega(X_3), D] = [-\partial_2 + \eta_2\partial_4, a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3 + a_4\partial_4] \\
=& -(\partial_2 a_1)\partial_1 - (\partial_2 a_2)\partial_2 - (\partial_2 a_3)\partial_3 - (\partial_2 a_4)\partial_4 - a_2\partial_4
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\partial_2 a_1 = \partial_2 a_2 = \partial_2 a_3 = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\partial_2 a_4 = -a_2. \tag{5.6}$$

In addition, by using (5.1) along with (5.5) and (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& [\gamma_\omega(X_4), D] = [-\partial_1 - \eta_2\partial_3 - \eta_1\partial_2, a_1\partial_1 + a_2\partial_2 + a_3\partial_3 + a_4\partial_4] \\ =& -(\partial_1 a_1)\partial_1 - (\partial_1 a_2)\partial_2 - (\partial_1 a_3)\partial_3 - (\partial_1 a_4)\partial_4 \\ &+ a_2\partial_3 - \eta_2(\partial_3 a_4)\partial_4 + a_1\partial_2 - \eta_1(\partial_2 a_4)\partial_4 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\partial_1 a_1 = 0, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\partial_1 a_2 = a_1, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\partial_1 a_3 = a_2, \tag{5.9}$$

$$\partial_1 a_4 = -\eta_2\partial_3 a_4 - \eta_1\partial_2 a_4. \tag{5.10}$$

Now, it follows by (5.2), (5.3), (5.5), and (5.7), that there exists a constant $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a_1 = c_1. \tag{5.11}$$

Furthermore, we see by (5.2), (5.3), and (5.5) that a_2 depends only on the variable η_1, hence it follows by (5.8) that there exists a constant $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a_2 = c_1\eta_1 + c_2. \tag{5.12}$$

We also get by (5.2), (5.3), and (5.5) that a_3 depends only on the variable η_1. It then follows by (5.9) along with (5.12) that there exists a constant $c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a_3 = \frac{c_1}{2}\eta_1^2 + c_2\eta_1 + c_3. \tag{5.13}$$

Next note that by (5.10), (5.6), and (5.4) we get

$$\partial_1 a_4 = -a_1\eta_2 + a_2\eta_1 = -c_1\eta_2 + c_1\eta_1^2 + c_2\eta_1, \tag{5.14}$$

where the latter equality follows by (5.11) and (5.12). Now recall that $a_4 \in \mathbb{R}[\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3]$. It then follows by (5.4) and (5.11) that there exists $p \in \mathbb{R}[\eta_1, \eta_2]$ such that

$$a_4 = c_1\eta_3 + p, \tag{5.15}$$

and then we get by (5.6) and (5.12) that $\partial_2 p = -c_1\eta_2 + c_2$, hence there exists $q \in \mathbb{R}[\eta_1]$ such that

$$p = -c_1\eta_1\eta_1 - c_2\eta_2 + q. \tag{5.16}$$

On the other hand, it follows by (5.14) and (5.15) that $\partial_1 p = -c_1\eta_2 + c_1\eta_1^2 + c_2\eta_1$. Then by using (5.16) we get $q' = c_1\eta_1^2 + c_2\eta_1$, hence there exists a constant $c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $q = \frac{c_1}{3}\eta_1^3 + \frac{c_2}{2}\eta_1^2 + c_4$. It then follows by (5.15) and (5.16) that

$$a_4 = c_1\eta_3 - c_1\eta_1\eta_2 - c_2\eta_2 + \frac{c_1}{3}\eta_1^3 + \frac{c_2}{2}\eta_1^2 + c_4.$$

It eventually follows by using also (5.11), (5.12), and (5.13) that the space of first-order linear differential operators in Diff $(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ is the 4-dimensional vector space consisting of the operators

$$\begin{aligned}&c_1\partial_1+(c_1\eta_1+c_2)\partial_2+(\frac{c_1}{2}\eta_1^2+c_2\eta_1+c_3)\partial_3\\&+(c_1\eta_3-c_1\eta_1\eta_2-c_2\eta_2+\frac{c_1}{3}\eta_1^3+\frac{c_2}{2}\eta_1^2+c_4)\partial_4\end{aligned}$$

with $c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4\in\mathbb{R}$ that is,

$$\operatorname{span}\{\partial_3,\partial_4,\partial_2+\eta_1\partial_3+(-\eta_2+\frac{\eta_1^2}{2})\partial_4,\partial_1+\eta_1\partial_2+\frac{\eta_1^2}{2}\partial_3+(\eta_3-\eta_1\eta_2+\frac{\eta_1^3}{3})\partial_4\}.$$

Then Corollary 5.6 shows that Diff $(\mathfrak{g}_0^*)$ is the unital associative algebra of differential operators generated by this set. □

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Prof. Bent Ørsted for his valuable comments on some references. This research has been partially supported by the Grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0131.

References

[Ar08] G. Arsu, On Schatten-von Neumann class properties of pseudodifferential operators. The Cordes-Kato method. *J. Operator Theory* **59** (2008), no. 1, 81–114.

[Be77] R. Beals, Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications. *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), no. 1, 45–57.

[BB10] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Smooth vectors and Weyl-Pedersen calculus for representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *An. Univ. Bucureşti Mat.* **58** (2010), no. 1, 17–46.

[BB11a] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Modulation spaces of symbols for representations of nilpotent Lie groups. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **17** (2011), no. 2, 290–319.

[BB11b] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Continuity of magnetic Weyl calculus. *J. Funct. Anal.* **260** (2011), no. 7, 1944–1968.

[BB12] I. Beltiţă, D. Beltiţă, Algebras of symbols associated with the Weyl calculus for Lie group representations. *Monatsh. Math.* (to appear). (See preprint arXiv:1008.2935v3 [math.FA].)

[Bo97] J.-M. Bony, Caractérisations des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels. In: *Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles*, 19961997, Exp. No. XXIII, 17 pp., École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1997.

[Bu06] D. Burde, Characteristically nilpotent Lie algebras and symplectic structures. *Forum Math.* **18** (2006), no. 5, 769–787.

[CV72] A.-P. Calderón, R. Vaillancourt, A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **69** (1972), 1185-1187.

[CE48] C. Chevalley, S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 85–124.

[Co83] L. Corwin, Criteria for solvability of left invariant operators on nilpotent Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **280** (1983), no. 1, 53–72.

[CG90] L.J. Corwin, F.P. Greenleaf, *Representations of Nilpotent Lie Groups and Their Applications. Part I. Basic Theory and Examples.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **18**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[DDJP09] E. Damek, J. Dziubanski, Ph. Jaming, S. Pérez-Esteva, Distributions that are convolvable with generalized Poisson kernel of solvable extensions of homogeneous Lie groups. *Math. Scand.* **105** (2009), no. 1, 31–65.

[Gł04] P. Głowacki, A symbolic calculus and L^2-boundedness on nilpotent Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **206** (2004), no. 1, 233–251.

[Gł07] P. Głowacki, The Melin calculus for general homogeneous groups. *Ark. Mat.* **45** (2007), no. 1, 31–48.

[He02] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis. Integral Geometry, Invariant Differential Operators, and Spherical Functions.* Corrected reprint of the 1984 original. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 83. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[Ho84] R. Howe, A symbolic calculus for nilpotent groups. In: *Operator Algebras and Group Representations* (Neptun, 1980), Vol. I, Monogr. Stud. Math., 17, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1984, pp. 254–277.

[Ka76] T. Kato, Boundedness of some pseudo-differential operators. *Osaka J. Math.* **13** (1976), no. 1, 1–9.

[Ma07] J.-M. Maillard, Explicit star products on orbits of nilpotent Lie groups with square integrable representations. *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007), no. 7, 073504.

[Me83] A. Melin, Parametrix constructions for right invariant differential operators on nilpotent groups. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **1** (1983), no. 1, 79–130.

[Mi82] K.G. Miller, Invariant pseudodifferential operators on two step nilpotent Lie groups. *Michigan Math. J.* **29** (1982), no. 3, 315–328.

[Ne04] K.-H. Neeb, Abelian extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups. *Trav. Math.* **15** (2004), 69–194.

[Pe88] N.V. Pedersen, *Geometric quantization and nilpotent Lie groups: A collection of examples.* Preprint, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, 1988.

[Pe89] N.V. Pedersen, Geometric quantization and the universal enveloping algebra of a nilpotent Lie group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **315** (1989), no. 2, 511–563.

[Pe94] N.V. Pedersen, Matrix coefficients and a Weyl correspondence for nilpotent Lie groups. *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), no. 1, 1–36.

[Ra85] G. Ratcliff, Symbols and orbits for 3-step nilpotent Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **62** (1985), no. 1, 38–64.

[Ro84] C. Rondeaux, Classes de Schatten d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **17** (1984), no. 1, 67–81.

[Ta81] M.E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators.* Princeton Mathematical Series **34**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

[Wa72] G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I.* Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **188**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Research Unit 1, P.O. Box 1-764, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `Ingrid.Beltita@imar.ro`
E-mail address: `Daniel.Beltita@imar.ro`